

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Mary Pitrello,

4 Petitioner

5 v.

6 William Reubart, *et al.*,

7 Respondents

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00835-APG-EJY

**Order Granting Motion for Extension of
Time to file to Reply in Support of Motion
to Dismiss to June 26, 2025**

[ECF No. 65]

8
9 The respondents ask for an extension of time to file a reply in support of their motion to
10 dismiss Mary Elizabeth Pitrello's amended 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition. ECF No.
11 65. Good cause appearing, the motion is granted. The Court is highly unlikely to grant any
12 further extension.

13 I THEREFORE ORDER that the respondents' motion for extension of time to file a reply
14 in support of their motion to dismiss [ECF No. 65] is **GRANTED** *nunc pro tunc*. **The deadline**
15 **is extended to June 26, 2025.**

16
17 DATED this 18th day of June, 2025.

18
19 

20 ANDREW P. GORDON
21 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23